

Pulp - Story #8701

As a pulp_installer user, I can use the full logic to add repos to the system no matter which role I apply

05/05/2021 02:59 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

Status: NEW	Start date:
Priority: Normal	Due date:
Assignee:	% Done: 0%
Category: Installer - Moved to GitHub issues	Estimated time: 0:00 hour
Sprint/Milestone:	Tags:
Platform Release:	Sprint:
Groomed: Yes	Quarter:
Sprint Candidate: No	
Description	
As mentioned in #7773 , we should refactor our logic to add repos to the system (in a robust & configurable manner) into another role like pulp_repos.	
I propose the following design:	
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This is a dependency role. pulp_common, pulp_redis, pulp_database, will all depend on it.2. When a role like pulp_common depends on it, it passes variables like __pulp_repos_epel: true to denote which repos the role needs. It passes variables via roles/pulp_common/meta/main.yml : dependencies:3. If a user wants to disable the logic to add the repo (if they added it manually), they'll pass a variable like pulp_repos_epel: false to disable it.4. Existing variables for configuring how we add the repos to the system, like epel_release_packages, should still used.	
This logic is found in:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• roles/pulp_common/tasks/ambiguously-named-repo.yml• roles/pulp_common/tasks/repos.yml	
Subtasks:	
Task # 9087: Document how to use pulp_installer in disconnected mode	NEW
Related issues:	
Related to Pulp - Issue #7773: pulp_installer fails to install redis due to n...	CLOSED - CURRENTRELEASE

History

#1 - 05/05/2021 03:03 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Description updated

#2 - 05/05/2021 03:09 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Description updated

#3 - 05/05/2021 03:10 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Description updated

#4 - 05/05/2021 03:19 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Groomed changed from No to Yes

#5 - 05/11/2021 09:59 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Related to Issue #7773: pulp_installer fails to install redis due to no EPEL7 added