

## RPM Support - Issue #8005

### allow setting a "name" (i.e. description) for a repo

12/17/2020 02:49 PM - thm

<b>Status:</b> NEW	<b>Start date:</b>
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0:00 hour
<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Sprint/Milestone:</b>	
<b>Severity:</b> 2. Medium	<b>Groomed:</b> No
<b>Version:</b>	<b>Sprint Candidate:</b> No
<b>Platform Release:</b>	<b>Tags:</b>
<b>OS:</b>	<b>Sprint:</b>
<b>Triaged:</b> Yes	<b>Quarter:</b>
<b>Description</b> The generated config.repo files lack a name = entry. The intention of this field is to hold a one-line description of the repo. When it is missing, yum/dnf issues a warning like this: Repository 'foo' is missing name in configuration, using id.  To suppress such warnings, it would be nice if one could set an attribute to an pulp rpm distribution that is used to fill that field when creating config.repo.  (Note there's potential for some confusion, because there's already a 'name' parameter to a pulp rpm distribution, that is used to fill the [repo] section header. It probably should have been named 'repo' instead of 'name'. As this is not going to change though, the new attribute requested in this ticket could e.g. be named 'description'.)	
<b>Related issues:</b> Related to RPM Support - Issue #8004: limit allowed characters for rpm distri... <b>NEW</b>	

#### History

##### #1 - 12/17/2020 05:11 PM - dalley

- Triaged changed from No to Yes

##### #2 - 12/17/2020 05:14 PM - ggainey

From freenode:#pulp-rpm 17-DEC:

```
<dalley> alright. first issue https://pulp.plan.io/issues/8005
<ppicka> imo we can have this but question if one time option or keep it with publication?
<ggainey> interesting issue
<ipanova> +1 to accept
<dalley> shouldn't we just forward the name of the repository to the generated .repo file?
<ggainey> ppicka: off the top of my head - this is for a repo-config. If it can change per-publication, what happens on the clients that know/knew the 'old' name?
<dalley> well, that might get tricky if you use multiple versions of the same repo as different repos. but I don't see why you would have one box subscribed to multiple versions
<ppicka> ggainey: good question, have never run into such situation
<ggainey> actually, you know what, we don't need to design this here. I think this is a sound request, let's make it a story and accept and then design in the ticket
<ipanova> i think forwarding the name of the repo should be ok when generating that config file
<ggainey> the name-collision is a little painful
<dalley> +1
<ppicka> I like idea of one time +1
<ggainey> the name? or the repo description? because description looks more like what foo.repo:name= looks like
<ggainey> ie, for fedora-cisco-openh264 repo, name=Fedora $releasever openh264 (From Cisco) - $basearch
<ggainey> anyway, I'll stop designing in IRC :)
```

##### #3 - 12/17/2020 05:17 PM - dalley

- Related to Issue #8004: limit allowed characters for rpm distribution name added