

## Pulp - Story #6797

[epic] As a user, I can consume all the plugin prereq roles in the installer.

05/21/2020 08:45 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

<b>Status:</b> ASSIGNED	<b>Start date:</b>
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 67%
<b>Category:</b> Installer	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0:00 hour
<b>Sprint/Milestone:</b>	<b>Tags:</b>
<b>Platform Release:</b>	<b>Sprint:</b>
<b>Groomed:</b> Yes	<b>Quarter:</b>
<b>Sprint Candidate:</b> No	

**Description**

pulp\_rpm\_prerequisites exists because the installer has had a plugin neutral policy.

This policy was for very long misunderstood: It's not about avoiding favoritism to any plugins, it's about not tying the installer (which is tied to pulpcore releases) to plugin releases. So that say pulpcore 3.3 logic would be in pulp\_installer 3.3 release, and so that pulp\_cardboardbox 0.7 logic would be in the pulp\_cardboardbox\_prerequisites 0.7 role.

The team now agrees that this policy is counter-productive because:

1. Having a role in a separate repo (not part of the pulp\_installer collection) is extra work for developers, and for users.
2. The only plugin that currently needs a prereq role, pulp\_rpm, has version numbers and releases that correspond to pulpcore releases. pulp\_rpm 3.3.z needs pulpcore 3.3.z, etc. So the pulp\_rpm specific installation logic can be safely bundled in pulp\_installer 99% of the time.

**Subtasks:**

Task # 6798: Document the new guidelines for plugin installation logic	<b>NEW</b>
Refactor # 6799: Merge the pulp_rpm_prerequisites role into pulp_installer	<b>CLOSED - CURRENT</b>
Refactor # 6903: Merge the galaxy_ng_prerequisites role into pulp_installer	<b>CLOSED - CURRENT</b>

### History

#### #1 - 06/03/2020 05:33 PM - bmbouter

- Subject changed from As a user, I can consume all the plugin prereq roles in the installer. to [epic] As a user, I can consume all the plugin prereq roles in the installer.

#### #2 - 06/03/2020 05:39 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Groomed changed from No to Yes  
- Sprint set to Sprint 74

#### #3 - 06/03/2020 05:42 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Assignee set to fao89

#### #4 - 06/03/2020 08:00 PM - fao89

- Status changed from NEW to ASSIGNED

#### #5 - 06/11/2020 10:27 PM - rchan

- Sprint changed from Sprint 74 to Sprint 75

#### #6 - 06/26/2020 06:04 PM - rchan

- Sprint changed from Sprint 75 to Sprint 76

#### #7 - 07/10/2020 08:32 PM - rchan

- Sprint changed from Sprint 76 to Sprint 77

**#8 - 07/28/2020 12:06 AM - rchan**

- Sprint changed from Sprint 77 to Sprint 78

**#9 - 08/07/2020 04:34 PM - rchan**

- Sprint changed from Sprint 78 to Sprint 79

**#10 - 08/24/2020 01:11 PM - rchan**

- Sprint changed from Sprint 79 to Sprint 80

**#11 - 09/04/2020 05:25 PM - rchan**

- Sprint changed from Sprint 80 to Sprint 81

**#12 - 09/18/2020 09:30 PM - rchan**

- Sprint changed from Sprint 81 to Sprint 82

**#13 - 09/22/2020 08:58 PM - fao89**

- Assignee deleted (fao89)

- Sprint deleted (Sprint 82)

I don't think the epic should be at the sprint, [#6798](#) is already at the sprint