

## Pulp - Issue #5769

Story # 5762 (ASSIGNED): [Epic] CI Improvements

### ansible-pulp molecule CI seems slower than it should be

11/19/2019 09:54 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

<b>Status:</b> NEW	<b>Start date:</b>
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0:00 hour
<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Sprint/Milestone:</b>	
<b>Severity:</b> 2. Medium	<b>Groomed:</b> No
<b>Version:</b>	<b>Sprint Candidate:</b> No
<b>Platform Release:</b>	<b>Tags:</b> CI/CD
<b>OS:</b>	<b>Sprint:</b>
<b>Triaged:</b> Yes	<b>Quarter:</b>
<b>Description</b> ansible-pulp CI is currently taking like 30 minutes.  Some theories on why this is happening: 1. ansible pipelining is not working. So there is a large overhead per every ansible task. 2. The Travis VM is low on RAM as it tests 3 containers at once (C7, Fedora & Debian). 3. Although purely an improvement, we could implement libeatmydata/nosync, or at other layers (like docker) make all disk writes unsafely cached.	
<b>Subtasks:</b> Issue # 5817: ansible-pulp CI is slower than it should be due to a lack of ansible pipe... <b>CLOSED - CURRENT</b> Issue # 6046: ansible-pulp molecule CI does duplicate molecule stages <b>CLOSED - CURRENT</b>	

#### History

#1 - 11/22/2019 04:36 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Subject changed from ansible molecule CI seems slower than it should be to ansible-pulp molecule CI seems slower than it should be

#2 - 11/22/2019 04:36 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Description updated

#3 - 11/22/2019 04:37 PM - fao89

- Triaged changed from No to Yes

#4 - 11/27/2019 05:51 PM - mdepaulo@redhat.com

- Description updated

#5 - 12/12/2019 02:49 PM - fao89

- Tags CI/CD added