

Pulp - Task #2942

Refit ChangeSet to work with content model changes.

07/25/2017 10:18 PM - jortel@redhat.com

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Status: | CLOSED - CURRENTRELEASE | Start date: | |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | |
| Assignee: | jortel@redhat.com | % Done: | 100% |
| Category: | | Estimated time: | 0:00 hour |
| Sprint/Milestone: | 3.0.0 | Tags: | |
| Platform Release: | | Sprint: | Sprint 23 |
| Groomed: | Yes | Quarter: | |
| Sprint Candidate: | No | | |
| Description | | | |
| Need to update the ChangeSet to work with Artifact modeling changes in: #2872 . | | | |
| Things to keep in mind: | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Artifact only get's created when file is downloaded.• The Artifact now has m/m relationship with Content using ContentArtifact. relative_path now stored on ContentArtifact.• The role of DownloadCatalog has expanded to represent <i>remote</i> artifacts in general. | | | |
| Related issues: | | | |
| Blocked by Pulp - Story #2872: As an authenticated user, I can create a Conte... | | CLOSED - CURRENTRELEASE | |

Associated revisions

Revision 5847a684 - 08/10/2017 06:03 PM - jortel@redhat.com

ChangeSet updated for content/artifact model changes. closes #2942

Revision 5847a684 - 08/10/2017 06:03 PM - jortel@redhat.com

ChangeSet updated for content/artifact model changes. closes #2942

History

#1 - 07/25/2017 10:26 PM - dkliban@redhat.com

- Groomed changed from No to Yes

We should make sure that the validation of Artifacts is deduplicated between the upload use case and the download performed by the ChangeSet.

#2 - 07/25/2017 10:57 PM - jortel@redhat.com

- Blocked by Story #2872: As an authenticated user, I can create a Content unit from one or more artifacts added

#3 - 07/25/2017 10:57 PM - jortel@redhat.com

- Status changed from NEW to ASSIGNED

- Assignee set to jortel@redhat.com

- Sprint/Milestone set to 42

#4 - 07/26/2017 05:16 PM - bmbouter

This issue is blocked by [#2872](#) so I think it should be at NEW not ASSIGNED. If we proceed on this too early, then we'll probably have to update again later. Perhaps it also should be on the sprint due to the blocking relationship also? We definitely want to get this to ASSIGNED as soon as its unblocked.

