

RPM Support - Story #1875

As a user, I can manage consumers that use DNF package manager

04/28/2016 04:33 PM - dkliban@redhat.com

Status:	CLOSED - WONTFIX	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	daviddavis	% Done:	100%
Category:		Estimated time:	0:00 hour
Sprint/Milestone:		Tags:	Pulp 2
Platform Release:		Sprint:	Sprint 33
Groomed:	Yes	Quarter:	
Sprint Candidate:	Yes		

Description

With Pulp3 so far underway, and not including consumers at all, adding this to Pulp2 is unlikely. I think we should do two things:

1. Create a blog post describing an alternate set of tooling (like ansible) to manage consumers via.
2. In the docs announce that dnf support is not planned and link to the blog post as a workaround.
3. Close this after as WONTFIX

Associated revisions

Revision 576dbc03 - 03/07/2018 05:20 PM - daviddavis

Add section about DNF to consumer docs

closes #1875 <https://pulp.plan.io/issues/1875>

History

#1 - 12/05/2016 04:25 PM - dkliban@redhat.com

- Sprint Candidate changed from No to Yes

#2 - 06/08/2017 02:27 PM - amacdona@redhat.com

- Sprint Candidate changed from Yes to No

#3 - 12/07/2017 05:46 PM - bmbouter

I think this feature request should be closed as WONTFIX unless someone from the community wants to send a PR for it. Consumers have been removed entirely from Pulp3, so users are going to need to switch off of Pulp code for consumer management anyway.

We should announce a deprecation of consumer management features if it hasn't been done already. We should additionally state that dnf based consumer actions won't be added. We should give some other options as alternatives such as Ansible. You can easily maintain an Ansible inventory to perform consumer actions with Ansible like you did with Pulp.

#4 - 12/07/2017 08:08 PM - bmbouter

I filed an issue in the katello tracker for them to take the Pulp code into Katello and port it to also support dnf. That issue is here: <http://projects.theforeman.org/issues/21904>

#5 - 12/07/2017 08:46 PM - jsherril@redhat.com

This makes sense from the katello side as long as projects.theforeman.org/issues/21904 is handled appropriately .

#6 - 12/19/2017 04:35 PM - bmbouter

- Description updated

I added some content to the description.

#7 - 02/13/2018 07:50 PM - ttereshc

- Groomed changed from No to Yes

- Sprint Candidate changed from No to Yes

#8 - 02/16/2018 06:35 PM - rchan

- Sprint/Milestone set to 56

#9 - 02/27/2018 03:34 PM - daviddavis

- Status changed from NEW to ASSIGNED

- Assignee set to daviddavis

#10 - 02/27/2018 10:49 PM - daviddavis

- Status changed from ASSIGNED to POST

<https://github.com/pulp/pulpproject.org/pull/108>

<https://github.com/pulp/pulp/pull/3345>

#11 - 03/07/2018 05:20 PM - daviddavis

- Status changed from POST to MODIFIED

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset <pulp:pulp|576dbc03c00bf599f451b29544c31d0d7b3bbd63>.

#12 - 03/09/2018 12:34 AM - bmbouter

- Sprint set to Sprint 33

#13 - 03/09/2018 12:35 AM - bmbouter

- Sprint/Milestone deleted (56)

#14 - 03/13/2018 08:43 AM - ttereshc

Do we want to close this one as a WONTFIX? so it will be clear that we didn't add support for DNF. Is it ok from rel-eng standpoint?

I get that the docs and blog post were created and the docs should go into release. But for users it can be confusing that status of the story is "closed-current release", though it's only docs which say that it won't be fixed.

#15 - 03/13/2018 01:07 PM - daviddavis

- Status changed from MODIFIED to CLOSED - WONTFIX

[ttereshc](#) +1

#16 - 04/15/2019 10:31 PM - bmbouter

- Tags Pulp 2 added